Synthetic Biology Cite This: ACS Synth. Biol. 2017, 6, 2157-2167

Dynamic Blue Light-Inducible T7 RNA Polymerases (Opto-T7RNAPs) for Precise Spatiotemporal Gene Expression Control

Armin Baumschlager,[©] Stephanie K. Aoki, and Mustafa Khammash*

Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering (D-BSSE), ETH-Zürich, Mattenstrasse 26, 4058 Basel, Switzerland

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Light has emerged as a control input for biological systems due to its precise spatiotemporal resolution. The limited toolset for light control in bacteria motivated us to develop a light-inducible transcription system that is independent from cellular regulation through the use of an orthogonal RNA polymerase. Here, we present our engineered blue light-responsive T7 RNA polymerases (Opto-T7RNAPs) that show properties such as low leakiness of gene expression in the dark state, high expression strength when induced with blue light, and an inducible range of more than 300-fold. Following optimization of the system to reduce expression variability, we



created a variant that returns to the inactive dark state within minutes once the blue light is turned off. This allows for precise dynamic control of gene expression, which is a key aspect for most applications using optogenetic regulation. The regulators, which only require blue light from ordinary light-emitting diodes for induction, were developed and tested in the bacterium Escherichia coli, which is a crucial cell factory for biotechnology due to its fast and inexpensive cultivation and well understood physiology and genetics. Opto-T7RNAP, with minor alterations, should be extendable to other bacterial species as well as eukaryotes such as mammalian cells and yeast in which the T7 RNA polymerase and the light-inducible Vivid regulator have been shown to be functional. We anticipate that our approach will expand the applicability of using light as an inducer for gene expression independent from cellular regulation and allow for a more reliable dynamic control of synthetic and natural gene networks.

KEYWORDS: optogenetics, light control, photoreceptor, LOV domain, transcription, dynamic gene expression, dynamic regulation, T7 RNA polymerase, protein engineering, orthogonal regulator, split protein

mall-molecule-induced gene expression systems are a key \bigcirc component in synthetic biology¹ and biotechnological applications.² However, chemical inducers are limited in their application in space and time. Spatiotemporal control is of increasing interest, as biological systems are regulated dynamically and respond to intracellular stimuli and changes in internal states.³ Although static perturbations, such as growth media variation and gene knockouts, have been extensively and successfully used to elucidate gene network structure and function, approaches using dynamic perturbations are providing new insight into the organizing principles of biology and the study of gene networks.³ Dynamic regulation is also starting to be explored by metabolic engineers.^{4–6} Moreover, very recent work has been addressing the problem of there being few broadly applicable tools available for dynamic pathway regulation, showing that dynamic regulation can significantly increase product titers through dynamic pathway regulation.

Light-based regulation is superior to conventional smallmolecule inducers in this regard, displaying better temporal properties, as removal of small molecules might be challenging in scenarios such as batch or fed-batch fermentation processes. Further, it allows for spatial control of individual cells (whereas small molecules are diffusion-limited) and is minimally invasive,⁸ a desired feature for basic research. These distinguishing properties of light over small molecules led to the development of numerous light-controlled devices.⁹⁻¹³ Lightinducible dimerization domains were successfully exploited in two-hybrid-like systems¹⁴ to create optogenetic gene expression systems in eukaryotes^{8-10,15} and for reconstitution of functional proteins from their split parts.^{16–19}

Dynamically light-inducible systems allow for the use of new regulation schemes by moving the controller out of the cell and using light as an input signal for control. Both biology and engineering make use of feedback control to achieve robust regulation, which in turn allows natural and engineered systems to function reliably in the face of disturbances or changing environmental conditions. However, the design of synthetic biological feedback controllers remains challenging due to the fact that biological parts do not behave as reproducibly as electronic ones. To overcome this obstacle, in silico feedback control was introduced by our group, which allows for electronic control of biological responses.^{20,21}

Received: May 22, 2017 Published: October 18, 2017



Figure 1. Opto-T7RNAP engineering strategy. (A) Opto-T7RNAP design. T7RNAP is split at different positions and fused to light-inducible dimerization domains via linkers at the split site. (B) Analysis system: Opto-T7RNAP and the fluorescent reporter mCherry under control of a T7 promoter are introduced into bacterial cells on two separate plasmids. Activity of Opto-T7RNAP can be measured through reporter fluorescence. (C) Steady-state expression of Opto-T7RNAP's generated from the different split sites described in (A), as well as a strain containing only the reporter under T7 promoter control but no T7RNAP, with fold-induction depicted above the bars. Raw values of mCherry (au) and molecules of equivalent PE (MEPE) are shown in Tables S1 and S2 and Figure S3. (D) Histogram of uninduced and light-induced; light-blue: 2 h after induction; dark blue: 6 h after induction.

Another challenge for precise control is that the concentration of RNA polymerase (RNAP) varies depending on the growth phase, nutrient conditions, and other extrinsic factors, ranging from 1800 to 10 200 molecules per cell.²² Along with fluctuations in the ribosome concentration, this can result in changes in expression levels²³⁻²⁵ and reduce the performance of constitutive promoters. This poses a challenge to systems that require precise balances in expression levels,²⁶ especially when media and growth conditions change such as during industrial scale-up.²⁷ To decouple expression of a gene of interest from cellular RNAP concentrations, the heterologous T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (T7RNAP), originating from the T7 bacteriophage,^{28,29} is commonly used for protein overexpression. This polymerase shows high processivity and high selectivity for the T7 promoter, and it does not transcribe sequences from endogenous Escherichia coli DNA.³⁰ Apart from biotechnology, the enzyme has also found applications in basic biological research, such as metagenomic screening.³¹ As T7RNAP-driven transcription is independent from the native E. coli RNAP, it allows inhibition of the native transcription

machinery (e.g., with rifampicin), without affecting the orthogonal T7 transcription system, resulting in exclusive expression of T7RNAP expressed genes.³⁰ Further, T7RNAP variants have been engineered to recognize different promoter variants,^{32–35} which allow independent expression of multiple genes. T7RNAP has been used in yeast strains such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*^{36,37} and *Pichia pastoris*,³⁸ other bacterial species apart from *E. coli* including the non-enteric bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*³⁹ and the biotechnologically relevant Gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis*,⁴⁰ as well as mammalian cells⁴¹ and higher plants.⁴²

In this work, we made T7RNAP light inducible by splitting the polymerase into two fragments and fusing them to photoactivatable dimerization domains. Our protein engineering strategy was guided by previous studies showing that T7RNAP can be split^{26,32,43-45} and reconstituted through dimerization to enhance and control its function²⁶ as well as by structural information about T7RNAP.⁴⁶ We aimed to implement light control using the heterodimeric "Magnet" domains.¹⁶ Magnets were engineered from the small homodimerizing photoreceptor Vivid (VVD) from the filamentous fungus Neurospora crassa⁴⁷ and consist of the nMag and pMag heterodimerizing protein domains, which specifically bind each other. Magnets use flavin as a chromophore for blue lightinduced binding of the two domains, which is abundant in bacterial and eukaryotic cells. A similar strategy to make T7RNAP light inducible was recently reported.⁴⁸ However, this approach uses fixed expression levels of slow-reverting photoactivatable split T7RNAP, which does not allow for easy adjustment of gene expression set points to experimental needs or fast reversible dynamics, a key asset of optogenetic control. Further, measurement of gene expression variability, crucial for precise cell control, was lacking as analysis was limited to bulk populations. We have addressed all of these points to construct a light-inducible T7RNAP expression system that allows for fast and precise dynamic control. Using different protein engineering designs, our system can be brought to different set points of basal and maximal expression using arabinose-inducible promoters, which allows for adjustment of expression levels to experimental requirements without altering the dynamic range. This is especially important for dynamic Opto-T7RNAP versions, which have a reduced dynamic range compared to the stable (slow dark statereverting) variants. Gene expression variability was reduced by using single cell analysis to optimize the levels of the Opto-T7RNAP domains. These improvements allowed us to develop the fast-reverting optogenetic regulator Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) with precise temporal protein expression control. We further provide a set of orthogonal light-inducible T7RNAPs with different properties that can be chosen depending on experimental needs, ranging from high expression strength for protein overexpression to high dynamic range and precise temporal control for dynamic control strategies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design of Light-Inducible T7 RNA Polymerases (Opto-**T7RNAPs).** Given that T7RNAP can be split at specific positions of its peptide chain ${}^{26,32,43-45}$ and that reconstitution of the functional polymerase can be enhanced through fusion of heterodimerizing coiled-coil protein structures,²⁶ we implemented light control by using the light-inducible heterodimerizing "Magnet" domains¹⁶ due to their small size (150 amino acids) and beneficial structural features for reconstituting split proteins. Magnets are engineered variants of the LOV photoreceptor Vivid.47 Upon light induction, two Vivid domains dimerize, bringing the N-terminus of one domain spatially close to the C-terminus of the other binding domain (see Figure S1). This allows for the fusion of these domains to the C-terminus of the N-terminal fragment of split proteins and to the N-terminus of the C-terminal split fragment, reconstituting the enzyme in a spatial manner by incorporating optogenetic regulation into the T7RNAP through light-induced assembly and dissociation.

Previous studies have shown that T7RNAP can be split at multiple positions. Therefore, our first objective was to identify which split positions allow for modifications without impairing the function of the enzyme structurally or sterically. We selected five split positions: the two previously reported positions between amino acids 179/180 and $600/601^{26,32,43-45}$ as well as amino acid positions 69/70, 302/303, and 563/564 (Figure 1A). We chose the latter three sites through structural analysis of a transcribing T7RNAP initiation complex,⁴⁶ which was guided by a study that identified

regions²⁶ at which the T7RNAP can be split. We excluded region $763-770^{26}$ as it contains the T7 promoter recognition loop (739–772). The chosen positions (69, 302, 563) all lie in surface-exposed flexible loops of T7RNAP, minimizing structural and steric interference of the additional Magnet domains. Further, the amino acids at the split positions 69(A)/70(A), 302(K)/303(K), and 563(S)/564(E) are preferred residues in natural linkers.⁴⁹ We named these variants Opto-T7RNAP(69), Opto-T7RNAP(179), Opto-T7RNAP(302), Opto-T7RNAP(563), and Opto-T7RNAP(600) based on the amino acid they were split after.

The N-terminal domain of T7RNAP was fused to nMagHigh1, and the C-terminal domain of T7RNAP was fused to pMag, both using a short GGSGG linker, schematically depicted in Figure 1A. This conformation was chosen following pretests with amino acid linkers of different lengths and sequences and with both conformations of nMagHigh1 and pMag fused to the N- and C-terminus of Opto-T7RNAP*(179) (data not shown). Both fusions were set under control of the araB promoter, excluding the CAP/CRP site, which we name P_{araB^*} . This promoter shows considerable amounts of constitutive leaky expression during log growth, and expression can be increased through addition of the sugar arabinose (Figure 1B). To reduce expression-induced toxicity of T7RNAP, which is thought to be caused by the high processivity of the polymerase, 50,51 we introduced the mutation R632S³³ and named these regulators T7RNAP* (Figure 1A). As a reporter for Opto-T7RNAP activity, we used the red fluorescent protein mCherry, transcribed from a T7 promoter (Figure 1B). Absorption and emission of mCherry peak at 587 and 610 nm, respectively, which is compatible with the 460 nm activating light used for the Opto-T7RNAPs. Further, mCherry stability met the requirements of our experimental setup (Supporting Information: mCherry Maturation Assay).

We focused on three properties that are important for expression systems for our initial analysis of the Opto-T7RNAP variants: dark-state basal expression, light-induced expression, and fold change. Dark-state basal expression provides combined information about dark-state binding of the light regulators and self-assembly of the T7RNAP domains. Light-induced expression gives the maximal expression level, which is highest when the light-inducible dimerizers successfully reassemble the split T7RNAP without causing steric or structural problems. A system with a high fold change requires light-induced dimerization of the split T7RNAP for assembly of the functional enzyme without hindering its function. We define the fold change by comparing expression levels under dark and light-induced conditions, without removal of basal fluorescence of the bacterium or leaky expression of the reporter mCherry from the T7 promoter without T7RNAP.

We analyzed expression levels of the Opto-T7RNAP*s with different split sites on the single-cell level through flow cytometry of cells grown in the dark and under light induction with 329 μ W/cm² of 460 nm blue light (Figure 1C) during exponential growth. Opto-T7RNAP*(69) reached the highest expression level, although it showed significant dark-state expression, resulting in a 56-fold change. Opto-T7RNAP*(563) showed the highest fold change (>300-fold), with a dark-state expression of ~5-fold and a lit-state expression ~1900-fold above the reporter control. Opto-T7RNAP*(302), however, showed the lowest basal expression with an induction of more than 140-fold in response to blue light. Gene expression is unimodal and fast in all cases, as exemplarily

ACS Synthetic Biology



Figure 2. Higher expression of C-terminal Opto-T7RNAP split fragment improves fold change and expression variability. Different split fragment expression levels (A) for (B) Opto-T7RNAP*(69) and (C) Opto-T7RNAP*(563). Expression level of the C-terminal Opto-T7RNAP fragment (pMag fused to C-terminal T7RNAP) was set to either (1) 0.5-fold, (2) equal, or (3) 1.9-fold TIR of the N-terminal Opto-T7RNAP fragment (from left to right in diagrams B and C). (D-F) Single-cell distributions of light-induced steady-state expression of the equal (gray) and 1.9-fold expression variants (blue) for (D) Opto-T7RNAP*(69), (E) Opto-T7RNAP*(563), and (F) Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1). Equal expression resulted in CVs of 66% for the 69 split and 137% for the 563 split variants, which was reduced through higher expression of the C-terminal fragment to CVs of 38% for the 69 split and 58% for the 563 split variants.

shown in the histogram in Figure 1D, reaching high expression levels already after 2 h (further example is shown in Figure S4).

It was not possible to compare the Opto-T7RNAPs to fulllength T7RNAP, as no cells containing both the full-length T7RNAP expressed from the *araB* promoter and mCherry under T7 promoter control could be obtained. Protein expression can be a burden on the cell,^{52,53} and mutations might arise that reduce this burden. Mutants can be selected due to a reduction of cellular stress and would eventually outgrow the population due to increased fitness. The high metabolic burden and stress caused by unregulated T7-driven mCherry expression led to a W727C mutation in T7RNAP in all colonies after transformation into the testing strain. The T7RNAP plasmid was sequenced, confirming that it did not contain the mutation prior to transformation. This variant shows titratable T7-driven expression (Figure S5); however, this does not allow for comparison with the Opto-T7RNAPs.

In general, inducible gene expression systems have to fulfill different requirements based on their applications. Heterologous protein production, for example, often requires a separation of the growth and production phases. Opto-T7RNAP*(69) could be used for such tasks as it allows for fast cell growth in the dark state and strong blue light-induced expression due to the high activity of the regulator at low regulator expression levels. If, however, the product of the T7-

driven expression is toxic, a tight regulator such as Opto-T7RNAP*(302) might be preferred. Opto-T7RNAP*(563) provides the highest fold change. Although different variants might be relevant for different tasks, we further focused on optimizing the 69 and 563 split Opto-T7RNAP*s.

Unequal expression of Opto-T7RNAP domains improves fold change and maximal expression level and reduces gene expression variability. We further investigated how expression ratios of the two Opto-T7RNAP fragments influence properties important for inducible gene expression systems. Therefore, we created constructs in which we varied the RBS strength of the C-terminal Opto-T7RNAP fragment to (1) 0.5-fold, (2) equal, and (3) 1.9-fold the predicted translation initiation rate (TIR) relative to the N-terminal Opto-T7RNAP fragment, which was kept the same (Figure 2A; TIR predictions are from RBS calculator v2.0^{54,55}).

Expression levels above the background signal are necessary to allow for comparison of fold changes between the constructs. All Opto-T7RNAP*(69) constructs showed dark-state expression above background without arabinose induction, but expression levels of Opto-T7RNAP*(563) with 0.5-fold and equal C-terminal fragment expression had to be increased with arabinose to generate dark-state expression above background. We observed that induced expression was significantly

Research Article



Figure 3. Dynamic experiments with Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1). Left: Dark-state reversal of Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1). Cells were induced for 3 h with saturating blue light ($329 \ \mu W/cm^2$), of which the last 45 min is shown, before light was turned off. Right: Dynamic mCherry expression profile using Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) in which cells were first induced with saturating blue light ($329 \ \mu W/cm^2$), resulting in strong expression, before removing the inducing light, which led to fast inactivation and reduction of fluorescence due to cell growth. Finally, the cells were induced with low-intensity blue light ($20 \ \mu W/cm^2$), leading to low mCherry expression.

increased when the C-terminal fragment was more highly expressed.

The fold change was lowest when the regulators were expressed in equal amounts, and it improved with higher expression of either the N- or C-terminal fragment of both the 69 and 563 split Opto-T7RNAP*s (Figure 2B,C). Further, we noticed a significant decrease in mCherry cell-to-cell expression variability when the C-terminal fragment was overexpressed (CV = 38% for Opto-T7RNAP(69) and 58% for Opto-T7RNAP*(563)) compared to equal expression of the domains (CV = 66% for Opto-T7RNAP*(69) and 137% for Opto-T7RNAP*(563)), as shown in Figure 2D-F. Since mean expression levels can have an influence on the variability, we chose arabinose levels so that a similar light-induced mean expression level was reached for equal and higher C-terminal expressing Opto-T7RNAP*(563). Also, for similar mean expression levels, we observed a decrease in variability of the variant with the higher-expressed C-terminal fragment (see Figure S6). We assume that with equally expressed domains, the amount of functional Opto-T7RNAP depends on the variability of the expression of each domain. However, if the Cterminal fragment is overexpressed, the concentration of functional regulator is dependent only on the variability of the lower expressed fragment. This leads to a decreased variability of reporter gene expression.

Dynamic Light-Inducible T7RNAP. One of the main assets of using optogenetics in biological systems is the ability for precise spatiotemporal control. Therefore, our aim was to develop a light-inducible expression system that reacts rapidly to changes in the light input. Since the Magnet dimerization system can only be activated, but not deactivated, with light, the dynamics depend on the reversal rate to the inactive dark state. It was reported that pMag and nMag dissociate with a half-life $(t_{1/2})$ of 1.8 h,^{16,48} which is not suitable for fast dynamic changes of the light input. Therefore, we used previously reported mutations in pMag that reduce the dissociation time to half-lives of 4.2 min (mutation I85V for pMagFast1) and 25 s (mutations I74V and I85V for pMagFast2).¹⁶

We implemented the mutation I85V in Opto-T7RNAP*(563) to create Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) and the mutations I74V and I85V to create Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F2). Due to the change in regulator, we tested if unequal expression is also beneficial for these regulators, as previously described. We observed a significant reduction in the output variability similar to that of the slow dark-reverting regulators (Figure 2F). The fold change also increased, although it was less pronounced than for the stable regulators (data not shown). Therefore, we further used the conformation in which the Cterminal split fragment is expressed 1.9-fold higher than the Nterminal fragment.

To test the dynamic properties of the system and if dark-state reversal of the regulators also leads to dissociation of the Opto-T7RNAP domains, we induced the cells with 329 μ W/cm² blue light for 3 h, before turning off the light, and monitored how fast reporter gene expression stops. Measurements were started during the last 45 min of light induction and continued for 2.5 h after the light was turned off.

For Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1), a slight decrease of fluorescence was observed at the first time point after 5 min and turned into exponential decay 10-15 min after the light was turned off (Figure 3, left). Considering the median half-life of mRNAs in E. coli is 4.7 min,⁵⁶ we observed a similar half-life as the 4.2 min that was previously reported for pMagFast1. Fluorescence of the stable variant pMag was constant for 30 min, before slowly transitioning into exponential decay (Figure S7). Since the cells are kept in exponential growth, we also expect exponential decay of active Opto-T7RNAP, resulting in a decrease in fluorescence. The decrease in fluorescence of the stable Opto-T7RNAP*(563) after 30 min could be a combination of both dark-state reversal and dilution of active Opto-T7RNAP due to cell growth. Surprisingly, we observed a similar dynamic behavior for Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F2) (Figure S7), which was reported and shown to revert to the dark state in 25 s. In the context of Opto-T7RNAPs, we did not observe an increased dark-state reversal rate of pMagFast2 compared to the stable pMag. Therefore, using pMagFast1 in Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) allows for dynamic expression control.

Expression Level Set Points and Light Sensitivity. Since the Opto-T7RNAP domains are under the control of inducible promoters, the maximal expression of a gene of interest can be adjusted to desired values by adjusting the arabinose concentration in the medium. To allow for titratable arabinose induction, we inserted a mutated *lacY* permease (*lacYA177C*) into the *attB* site of our testing strain BW25113,^{57,58} abolishing the all-or-nothing induction of the native arabinose transporter.⁵⁹ Increased expression levels of the dynamic Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1), through increased arabinose concentrations, led to increased reporter expression without significant changes in the fold change (Figure S9), as dark-state and light-induced expression increased comparably (Figure 4, left). For the stable Opto-T7RNAP*(563), reporter



Figure 4. Characterization of Opto-T7RNAP expression set points and blue light dose–response. (Left) Arabinose induction to increase Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) expression levels under dark and lightinduction ($329 \ \mu W/cm^2$ of 460 nm light) conditions results in higher reporter expression with similar fold changes. (Right) Dose–response for 460 nm blue light. Fluorescence was normalized for individual constructs to allow for direct comparison.

expression was maximal with 0.1% arabinose and decreased with 0.2% arabinose, which we suspect to be due to the additional burden of higher regulator expression and mCherry reporter expression at the maximal level of the cell (Figure S10).

To further characterize light sensitivity of the Opto-T7RNAP*(563) variants with pMag, pMagFast1, and pMag-Fast2, we tested the dose-response of the three regulators to different intensities of 460 nm blue light. Opto-T7RNAP*(563) and Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) showed a similar dose response, with increasing expression until ~330 μ W/cm² (Figure 4 right). The additional I74V mutation, however, led to increased light sensitivity and maximal induction with light intensities as low as 20 μ W/cm² and showed low basal expression in the dark. Our experimental setup did not allow for light intensities below this value. Changes in the expression ratios of the Opto-T7RNAP domains, as used to optimize the fold induction (Figure 2B,C), resulted in identical light sensitivities for all three regulators and did not have an influence on light sensitivity (Figure S11).

High Expression Strength Opto-T7RNAPs. We also wanted to test our regulators with destabilized mCherry to further investigate their dynamic properties. However, destabilization of the reporter led to a significant reduction in fluorescence signal. To increase the expression strength of our regulators, we used the optimized designs of Opto-T7RNAP*(563) and Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) and reintroduced the arginine at amino acid position 632 of wild-type T7RNAP to create Opto-T7RNAP(563) and Opto-T7RNAP-(563-F1). This led to a 5.7-fold increase in light-induced expression strength for Opto-T7RNAP(563-F1), with the darkstate basal expression increasing 3.7-fold, resulting in a lightinducible fold change of about 80-fold (Figure 5A; raw fluorescence data are in Table S3, and a time course is in Figure S12). The dark-state basal expression increased 6.5-fold for Opto-T7RNAP(563) compared to Opto-T7RNAP*(563), whereas the light-induced expression increased 3.2-fold (Figure 5A; raw fluorescence data are in Table S3, and a time course is in Figure S12). This led to a reduction of the light-inducible fold change of Opto-T7RNAP(563) to about 160-fold compared to 300-fold for Opto-T7RNAP*(563), which might be due to detection limitations of our flow cytometry settings or biological limitations, as we also observed significantly reduced growth when Opto-T7RNAP(563) expression was induced with blue light.

We further used a C-terminal fusion of the SsrA degradation tag (AANDENYALAA)⁶⁰⁻⁶² to destabilize mCherry. To stop both expression and degradation of our reporter, we fixed the cells using formaldehyde to generate time course data for dynamic experiments. It was observed that pMagFast1 in Opto-T7RNAP(563-F1) leads to faster dark-state reversal compared to pMag in Opto-T7RNAP(563) (Figure S13). We also noticed that the dynamics are slower compared to Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1), which might be due to the high processivity of wild-type T7RNAP.

We further observed that the use of the strong LAA SsrA degradation tag in combination with the high expression level of our Opto-T7RNAPs led to a significant fold-change increase of dark-state basal expression to light-induced expression, with maximal measured fold changes of 66 000 for Opto-



Figure 5. Comparison of Opto-T7RNAPs to paT7P-1⁴⁸ (Supporting Information: paT7P-1 Characterization). (A) Dark-state basal expression and light-induced steady-state expression of paT7P-1, Opto-T7RNAP*(563), and Opto-T7RNAP(563) with fold induction depicted above the bars. Raw values of mCherry (au) are shown in Table S3. (B) Single-cell distributions of dark-state and light-induced steady-state expression of paT7P-1 (gray) and Opto-T7RNAP*(563) (blue). (C) Dark-state reversal of paT7P-1 in comparison to Opto-T7RNAP*(563) and Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1). Induction with saturating blue light (329 μ W/cm²) took place until the 30 min time point, before the cultures were grown in the dark.

T7RNAP(563) and 21 000 for Opto-T7RNAP(563-F1), which is most likely beyond the detection range of our flow cytometry instrument and the settings used (Figure S14).

Fast-Reverting Light-Inducible T7 RNA Polymerase Allows for Fast Dynamic Regulation. Finally, we show that Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) can be used to precisely and dynamically induce gene expression. In Figure 3 (right panel), we show an exemplary dynamic time course by first inducing gene expression to its maximal level with $329 \ \mu W/cm^2$ of blue light, resulting in high reporter expression, before shutting down the system by turning off the light at minute 270, which leads to an immediate drop in the fluorescent reporter at the following time point. We then induced the system with low-intensity blue light at 20 $\ \mu W/cm^2$ at minute 570, resulting in low expression of the reporter protein. This demonstrates that Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) allows for dynamic regulation of gene expression at different induction levels.

Comparison of Opto-T7RNAPs to paT7P-1. We compared our system to the "photoactivatable T7RNAP" paT7P-1⁴⁸ that was published during the course of this study. paT7P-1 consists of split T7RNAP fused to Vivid domains. The lower fold-induction of this regulator (62-fold) compared to Opto-T7RNAP*(563) (~300-fold) is mainly due to the higher basal expression in the dark state (Figure 5A; Supporting Information: paT7P-1 Characterization). Without arabinose-inducer, Opto-T7RNAP*(563) reaches a similar maximal expression level compared to paT7P-1. Opto-T7RNAP(563) however has a 3.3-fold higher expression level compared to paT7P-1, even without addition of arabinose into the growth medium.

Further, Opto-T7RNAP*(563) shows a significantly reduced variability at the single-cell level (Figure 5B) compared to paT7P-1, with a distribution tail spanning from uninduced to fully induced cells in steady-state induced cells, making Opto-T7RNAPs more suitable for precise gene expression control.

Finally, paT7P-1 shows a highly similar dark-state reversal as our slow dark-state reverting Opto-T7RNAP*(563) (Figure 5C), which was expected due to the use of stable regulators and was previously reported.⁴⁸

CONCLUSIONS

Pioneering work on light-inducible gene expression has been done in *E. coli* using two-component systems.^{11,63,64} In this work, we took a different approach by implementing light control into the orthogonal T7RNAP, an enzyme that is highly relevant in biotechnology and biological science. Building on studies of T7RNAP^{26,32} and light-responsive Magnets, we constructed a set of orthogonal blue light-inducible split-T7 RNA polymerases. Our system shows a wide dynamic range, low basal expression levels and gene expression variability, and fast dynamics.

Of particular interest, each Opto-T7RNAP has different properties, including dynamic range and basal expression levels, depending on the split position of T7RNAP. We observed light inducibility for all split positions we tested, which might be due to the choice of split positions in flexible loops at surfaceexposed areas of the protein and the avoidance of positions close to the DNA-recognition site in the protein to reduce the risk of steric interference due to fusion of light-inducible domains. Regarding the prediction of specific properties of the resulting regulators depending on the split sites, such as fold change and maximal expression strength, no definite assumptions can be made based on our findings. However, split positions might be preferred that do not show reassociation on the split parts on their own. For example, reassociation of proteolytically split T7 at position 173 was shown to retain its specific activity, which was reduced just 3.5-fold. This split site also showed the least fold induction when fused to heterodimerizing photoregulators, which was also observed by Han et al.⁴⁸

We also found that gene expression variability could be reduced by optimizing expression levels and ratios of the two Opto-T7RNAP domains, a feature that is desirable for precise control. Further, the system can be brought to desired set points of minimal and maximal expression, allowing for adaptation of the system to experimental requirements. It has yet to be elucidated if this finding also holds for other lightinducible split proteins.

During the course of this study, a photoactivatable, stable T7RNAP system was published, consisting of split T7RNAP fused to Vivid domains. In a direct comparison, we found that our stable Opto-T7RNAP*(563) had higher-fold induction, lower basal expression in the dark state, and less variability at the single-cell level, making it more suitable for precise gene expression control. Moreover, variant Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) can be used for dynamic regulation due to its fast reversion of the light-induced regulator to the dark state when light is absent. With fold changes of more than 50-fold for the dynamic Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F1) and 80-fold for Opto-T7RNAP(563-F1), both outperform any previously published dynamic blue light system (EL222:5-fold,65 iLID-T7:26-fold66). The fast dark-state reversal allows for the use of pulse width modulation, which was previously applied to optogenetically controlled systems⁶⁷ and might be useful for additional fine-tuning of expression levels. We also found that another variant, Opto-T7RNAP*(563-F2), shows a high sensitivity to blue light, which might be preferable if only low light levels can be provided for activation.

While characterizing the dynamic properties of our system, it was observed that the addition of a strong degradation tag (LAA-SsrA) to the mCherry fluorescent reporter increased the light-induced fold induction. This "fold-change enhancing" effect might be due to saturation of the SsrA-recruited proteases ClpX and ClpA at high reporter expression levels. Whether this observation also holds for other proteins than mCherry should be further investigated, as it might be a valuable and useful contribution for dynamic cell regulation.

The presented Opto-T7RNAPs all respond to blue light. However, due to the modularity of the system, the blue lightsensitive Magnets could be exchanged with regulators that dimerize in response to other wavelengths. As T7RNAP variants have been engineered to recognize different promoter sequences,³²⁻³⁵ Opto-T7RNAPs that respond to different wavelengths could be used in the same cell for multichromatic control of multiple genes. Considering regulation schemes that use multiple optogenetic regulators for differential gene expression, regulators that activate at a certain wavelength and revert quickly in the dark, such as the Magnet system used here, might be preferable to systems that need light of a different wavelength for dark-state reversion as they leave a greater portion of the light spectrum available for other optogenetic regulators. It should also be possible to combine this system with available light-inducible systems of different wavelengths, such as the green/red controllable CcaS-CcaR or the red/far-red controlled Cph8-OmpR.⁶³

The Opto-T7RNAPs will also serve as an excellent screening system to engineer the properties of the light-inducible dimerizing Magnets toward lowering dark-state interaction and increasing light-induced binding affinity and dark-state reversal rates through rational protein design and directed evolution, as it provides an easy screen for transcriptional output.

Optogenetics is emerging as a promising tool for dynamic gene expression control. Our Opto-T7RNAP light-inducible system could eliminate the use of costly inducers, such as IPTG, which can be relevant for high-volume microbial production systems.^{68,69} The dynamic properties of our system could also be utilized to tune new or existing metabolic pathways. Finally, this system should also be adaptable for use in other organisms such as other bacterial species, yeast, or mammalian cells, in which T7RNAP and Magnets have been shown to be functional.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Media. *E. coli* DH5 α Z1⁷⁰ was used for all cloning. For characterization, we used *E. coli* strain AB360 (derived from *E. coli* BW25113),^{57,58} which we modified by integrating *lacYA177C* into the *attB* site.⁸ This transforms the all-or-nothing induction of the native arabinose transporter toward titratable arabinose induction.⁵⁹ Relevant for this study is that the strains contain the transcription factor *araC*, whereas arabinose metabolizing genes *araBAD* are deleted. Autoclaved LB-Miller medium was used for strain propagation. Sterile-filtered M9 medium supplemented with 0.2% casamino acids, 0.4% glucose, and 0.001% thiamine was used for all gene expression experiments. Antibiotics were used if necessary for plasmid maintenance at concentrations of 100 μ g/mL ampicillin, 25 μ g/mL chloramphenicol, and 50 μ g/mL kanamycin.

Plasmids and Genetic Parts. Plasmids were transformed using a one-step preparation protocol of competent E. coli.⁷¹ We used the pZ-series modular vectors⁷⁰ containing the pSC101 origin of replication with repA, and cat for chloramphenicol resistance, as the basis for plasmids containing the Opto-T7RNAPs. The vector was modified for expression of two proteins so that it contained two arabinose promoters, P_{araB}^* (araB promoter from Guzman et al.,⁷² excluding CAP binding site), followed by the Opto-T7RNAP fusions and rrnB T1 terminator sequences (BBa B0010), which are each flanked by unique cut sites to allow for modular exchange of promoters and RBSs. The N-terminal Opto-T7RNAP fusions can be exchanged between BglII and AvrII, its promoters can be exchanged with AatII and BglII, the C-terminal Opto-T7RNAP can be exchanged between either NsiI (for pAB164, pAB166, pAB170) or PacI (for all other plasmids) and XbaI, and its promoters can be exchanged between AscI and either NsiI or PacI (see plasmid map in Figure S15). The empty reporter control strain contains the same plasmid, with araC instead of Opto-T7RNAP. The T7 polymerase split fragments were amplified from $pTARA^{73}$ (Addgene plasmid # 31491). The plasmid contained a missense mutation at position 823, which was corrected to the original sequence with OEPCR. We further introduced the mutation R632S³³ to all T7RNAP constructs, also through OEPCR using primers with the sequence AGT for amino acid position 632. For the T7 promoter-driven mCherry reporter plasmid, we used pETM6mCherry⁷⁴ (a gift from Mattheos Koffas) and inserted the T7 promoter between AvrII and NdeI with the same sequence used in pTHSSD_8²⁶ with a strong RBS using synthesized oligos. The TIRs for expression level studies of the Opto-T7RNAP fragments were calculated using RBS calculator v2.0,^{54,55} with the resulting mRNA structures and TIRs shown in Figure S16. All resulting strains are listed in Table S4, and genetic parts are listed in Table S5, which were cloned using standard molecular biology protocols.^{75,76} The plasmids used in this study are available from Addgene (www.addgene.org) with accession numbers 101661–101677.

Integration of lacYA177C into E. coli BW25113. We used λ integrase expressed from pJW27 to integrate *lacYA177C* into the *attB* site of BW25113,^{57,58} using plasmid pSKA27 (unpublished, sequence available upon request) containing lacYA177C, FRT-flanked kanR from pKD13 ligated into XbaIcut pFL503,59 and a sequence identical to the genome regions for attB integration. pSKA27 was cut with NotI, and the 4229 bp band gel was purified and circularized before transformation into pJW27-containing cells. For integration, pJW27 was transformed into E. coli BW2511357,58 and selected at 30 °C on LB-Agar plates containing chloramphenicol for expression of λ integrase. A single colony was used to inoculate 5 mL of LB broth containing chloramphenicol, and the culture was grown at 30 °C in a water bath with shaking. The cells were then moved to 42 °C for 15 min, before incubating on ice for 15 min. Cells were transformed with the integration construct using the previously described transformation protocol. The kanamycin resistance cassette can be removed by expressing FLP-recombinase following the protocol of Datsenko and Wanner⁵⁸ using plasmid pCP20. The kanamycin resistance was kept in all strains for this study.

T7RNAP-Induced Gene Expression during Steady-State Growth. All experiments were performed in biological triplicates for data shown in the main text and Supporting Information unless explicitly noted otherwise. Expression of the Opto-T7RNAPs was chosen so that dark-state expression levels of all tested constructs were above the reporter control (cells containing just T7 promoter-controlled mCherry without T7RNAP), which allows for comparison of fold change between the constructs.

All experiments consist of time course induction experiments performed with cells in exponential growth phase to allow for a reliable comparison of expression levels. The single-cell flow cytometry data shown are steady-state, or close to steady-state, expression levels of cells in exponential growth phase. The corresponding time courses are provided in Figures S17–S21. Further, we provide molecules of equivalent fluorophore for the expression levels of the different Opto-T7RNAP variants in Figure S3, which allows researchers to compare our mCherry expression levels independent of having the technical equipment.

Overnight cultures inoculated from glycerol stocks, originating from single colonies, were grown in M9 medium containing chloramphenicol, ampicillin, and kanamycin at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm in light-proof black tubes (Greiner Bio-One). The samples were diluted 1:25000 in 7 mL of fresh M9 medium in sterile polystyrene tubes (Sarstedt, ethanol washed, and capped with aluminum foil). The tubes were wrapped in aluminum foil to prevent outside light from other experiments reaching the cells, leaving only the bottom uncovered for induction with LED light. All experiments were performed in a dark room.

For expression experiments, cells were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C in a water bath (Julabo, ED (v.2) THERM60) and custom-made

ACS Synthetic Biology

LED lights similar to that described by Milias-Argeitis,²¹ with the difference being that led lights were placed under the tubes in the water bath and LEDs with a 460 nm wavelength (40 lm, 700 mA; LED Engin Inc.) were used. Tubes were placed on a multi position magnetic stirrer (Thermo Scientific, Telesystem 15) for stirring with Teflon-covered 3 × 8 mm magnetic stirrers (Huberlab AG) at 900 rpm (Figure S22).

Samples were grown without light induction for at least 2.5 h before the experiment was started to allow adaptation toward log phase growth in fresh M9 media, containing arabinose for some experiments, and steady-state expression of the regulators. Samples were taken every 60 min for steady-state expression experiments or at shorter intervals for dynamic experiments. Cells were kept below an OD_{600} of 0.03 and were therefore kept in the logarithmic growth phase through manual dilution with fresh medium of the respective arabinose concentration.

mCherry Maturation Assay. We determined the maturation of the fluorescent protein mCherry for our experimental settings to be 90 min for full maturation with translation and transcription inhibition (Supporting Information: Transcription and Translation Inhibition, and Figure S23). Sample was added to the inhibition solution in equal volumes, resulting in a final inhibitor concentration of 250 μ g/mL rifampicin (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH) and 25 μ g/mL tetracycline (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH). The transcription and translation inhibition solution contained 500 μ g/mL rifampicin and 50 μ g/ mL tetracycline in phosphate buffered saline (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline) and was filtered using a 0.2 μ m syringe filter (Sartorius). The inhibition solution was precooled on ice. After sample was added, the solution was incubated on ice for at least 30 min to allow for diffusion of the antibiotics into the cell and inhibition of transcription and translation in aluminum foil-covered 96-well U-bottom plates (Thermo Scientific Nunc). In-between wells of the 96-well plate that contained sample, we added 200 μ L of PBS to include an additional wash step, which reduces carryover of sample to undetectable levels using the BD High Throughput Sampler of the flow cytometer. After incubation on ice for 30 min, the sample were transferred to a 37 °C incubator for 90 min for mCherry maturation. Then, the cells were put on ice until measurement through flow cytometry.

Formaldehyde Cell Fixation Assay. We fixed cells using formaldehyde to inhibit all cellular functions at specific time points for experiments in which the mCherry reporter was tagged with an SsrA degradation tag. Therefore, samples of cells in growing culture were pelleted at 4 °C and 21 130 rcf for 1 min. Then, the supernatant was discarded and the cells were resuspended in ice-cold 4% (w/v) formaldehyde (Life Technologies Europe BV) in 10 mM phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4). The samples were collected in a 96-well plate on ice and stored at 4 °C. Fixed cells were directly measured by flow cytometry within a time frame of 36 h after the samples were collected.

Flow Cytometry Measurement. Cell fluorescence was characterized on a BD LSR Fortessa containing a 561 nm laser with BD High Throughput Sampler attached and analyzed using FlowJo vX (TreeStar). mCherry fluorescence was characterized with a 561 nm (100 mW) laser and 610/20 nm band pass and 600 nm long pass filters. At least 10 000 events were recorded in a two-dimensional forward and side scatter gate, which was drawn by eye and corresponded to the experimentally determined size of the testing strain at logarithmic growth (Figure S24). Gating for analysis was also

determined by eye and was kept constant for analysis of all experiments and used for calculations of the median and CV using the same software.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acssynbio.7b00169.

Additional methods; dose-response curves; time course experiments; activation of Opto-T7RNAP*(69); MEPE values for dark- and light-induced expression; list of strains; list of genetic parts (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mustafa.khammash@bsse.ethz.ch.

ORCID 0

Armin Baumschlager: 0000-0002-2546-9038

Author Contributions

A.B. conceived the project, and M.K. supervised the project. A.B. designed and performed the experiments and analyzed the data. S.K.A. cloned the *lacYA177C* integration plasmid pSKA27 and provided experimental advice. A.B., S.K.A., and M.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Marc Rullan and Peter Buchmann are thanked for help with the LED light setup. Dr. Gupta Ankit, Dr. Corentin Briat, Dr. Andreas Milias-Argeitis, Dr. Gabriele Lillacci and Dirk Benzinger are thanked for helpful discussions. We thank the Single Cell Facility of the DBSSE, especially Telma Lopez and Verena Jäggin. We thank Prof. Dr. Haiyan Liu for kindly providing the paT7P-1 plasmid. A.B. is part of the Life Science Zurich graduate school.

ABBREVIATIONS

RNAP, DNA-dependent RNA polymerase; RBS, ribosome binding site; TIR, translation initiation rate; au, arbitrary units

REFERENCES

(1) Khalil, A. S. S., and Collins, J. J. J. (2010) Synthetic biology: applications come of age. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 11, 367–379.

(2) Brautaset, T., Lale, R., and Valla, S. (2009) Positively regulated bacterial expression systems. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2, 15–30.

(3) Castillo-Hair, S. M., Igoshin, O. a, and Tabor, J. J. (2015) How to train your microbe: methods for dynamically characterizing gene networks. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 24, 113–123.

(4) Brockman, I. M., and Prather, K. L. J. (2015) Dynamic metabolic engineering: New strategies for developing responsive cell factories. *Biotechnol. J.* 10, 1360–1369.

(5) Torella, J. P., Ford, T. J., Kim, S. N., Chen, A. M., Way, J. C., and Silver, P. a. (2013) Tailored fatty acid synthesis via dynamic control of fatty acid elongation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 11290–5.

(6) Holtz, W. J., and Keasling, J. D. (2010) Engineering Static and Dynamic Control of Synthetic Pathways. *Cell 140*, 19–23.

(7) Gupta, A., Reizman, I. M. B., Reisch, C. R., and Prather, K. L. J. (2017) Dynamic regulation of metabolic flux in engineered bacteria using a pathway-independent quorum-sensing circuit. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 35, 273.

(8) Müller, K., Naumann, S., Weber, W., and Zurbriggen, M. D. (2015) Optogenetics for gene expression in mammalian cells. *Biol. Chem.* 396, 145–152.

(9) Müller, K., Engesser, R., Schulz, S., Steinberg, T., Tomakidi, P., Weber, C. C., Ulm, R., Timmer, J., Zurbriggen, M. D., and Weber, W. (2013) Multi-chromatic control of mammalian gene expression and signaling. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, e124.

(10) Pathak, G. P., Strickland, D., Vrana, J. D., and Tucker, C. L. (2014) Benchmarking of Optical Dimerizer Systems. *ACS Synth. Biol. 3*, 832–838.

(11) Levskaya, A., Chevalier, A. A., Tabor, J. J., Simpson, Z. B., Lavery, L. A., Levy, M., Davidson, E. A., Scouras, A., Ellington, A. D., Marcotte, E. M., and Voigt, C. A. (2005) Synthetic biology: engineering Escherichia coli to see light. *Nature* 438, 441–442.

(12) Shimizu-Sato, S., Huq, E., Tepperman, J. M., and Quail, P. H. (2002) A light-switchable gene promoter system. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 20, 1041–4.

(13) Williams, S. C. P., and Deisseroth, K. (2013) Optogenetics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 16287–16287.

(14) Fields, S., and Song, O. (1989) A novel genetic system to detect protein-protein interactions. *Nature* 340, 245–246.

(15) Niu, J., Ben Johny, M., Dick, I. E., and Inoue, T. (2016) Following Optogenetic Dimerizers and Quantitative Prospects. *Biophys. J.* 111, 1132–1140.

(16) Kawano, F., Suzuki, H., Furuya, A., and Sato, M. (2015) Engineered pairs of distinct photoswitches for optogenetic control of cellular proteins. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6256.

(17) Nihongaki, Y., Kawano, F., Nakajima, T., and Sato, M. (2015) Photoactivatable CRISPR-Cas9 for optogenetic genome editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 755–760.

(18) Taslimi, A., Zoltowski, B., Miranda, J. G., Pathak, G. P., Hughes, R. M., and Tucker, C. L. (2016) Optimized second-generation CRY2– CIB dimerizers and photoactivatable Cre recombinase. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 425–430.

(19) Kawano, F., Okazaki, R., Yazawa, M., and Sato, M. (2016) A photoactivatable Cre-loxP recombination system for optogenetic genome engineering. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 1059–1064.

(20) Milias-Argeitis, A., Summers, S., Stewart-Ornstein, J., Zuleta, I., Pincus, D., El-Samad, H., Khammash, M., and Lygeros, J. (2011) In silico feedback for in vivo regulation of a gene expression circuit. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 1114–1116.

(21) Milias-Argeitis, A., Rullan, M., Aoki, S. K., Buchmann, P., and Khammash, M. (2016) Automated optogenetic feedback control for precise and robust regulation of gene expression and cell growth. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12546.

(22) Bremer, H., and Dennis, P. P. (1987) Modulation of Chemical Composition and Other Parameters of the Cell by Growth Rate, *Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium: Cellular and Molecular Biology*, pp 1527–1542.

(23) Keren, L., Zackay, O., Lotan-Pompan, M., Barenholz, U., Dekel, E., Sasson, V., Aidelberg, G., Bren, A., Zeevi, D., Weinberger, A., Alon, U., Milo, R., and Segal, E. (2013) Promoters maintain their relative activity levels under different growth conditions. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 9, 701.

(24) de Vos, D., Bruggeman, F. J., Westerhoff, H. V., and Bakker, B. M. (2011) How molecular competition influences fluxes in gene expression networks. *PLoS One 6*, e28494.

(25) Klumpp, S., Zhang, Z., and Hwa, T. (2009) Growth Rate-Dependent Global Effects on Gene Expression in Bacteria. *Cell 139*, 1366–1375.

(26) Segall-Shapiro, T. H., Meyer, A. J., Ellington, A. D., Sontag, E. D., and Voigt, C. a. (2014) A "resource allocator" for transcription based on a highly fragmented T7 RNA polymerase. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 10, 742.

(27) Moser, F., Broers, N. J., Hartmans, S., Tamsir, A., Kerkman, R., Roubos, J. A., Bovenberg, R., and Voigt, C. A. (2012) Genetic circuit performance under conditions relevant for industrial bioreactors. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 1, 555–564.

(28) Studier, F. W. (1991) Use of bacteriophage T7 lysozyme to improve an inducible T7 expression system. J. Mol. Biol. 219, 37-44.

(29) Chamberlin, M., McGrath, J., and Waskell, L. (1970) New RNA polymerase from Escherichia coli infected with bacteriophage T7. *Nature* 228, 227–231.

(30) Tabor, S. (2001) Expression Using the T7 RNA Polymerase/ Promoter System. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol.*, 16.2.1–16.2.11.

(31) Lussier, F. X., Chambenoit, O., Côté, A., Hupé, J. F., Denis, F., Juteau, P., Beaudet, R., and Shareck, F. (2011) Construction and functional screening of a metagenomic library using a T7 RNA polymerase-based expression cosmid vector. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 38, 1321–1328.

(32) Shis, D. L., and Bennett, M. R. (2013) Library of synthetic transcriptional AND gates built with split T7 RNA polymerase mutants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110*, 5028–33.

(33) Temme, K., Hill, R., Segall-Shapiro, T. H., Moser, F., and Voigt, C. A. (2012) Modular control of multiple pathways using engineered orthogonal T7 polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 8773–8781.

(34) Carlson, J. C., Badran, A. H., Guggiana-Nilo, D. A., and Liu, D. R. (2014) Negative selection and stringency modulation in phageassisted continuous evolution. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 10, 216–222.

(35) Ellefson, J. W., Meyer, A. J., Hughes, R. a, Cannon, J. R., Brodbelt, J. S., and Ellington, A. D. (2013) Directed evolution of genetic parts and circuits by compartmentalized partnered replication. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 97–101.

(36) Benton, B. M., Eng, W. K., Dunn, J. J., Studier, F. W., Sternglanz, R., and Fisher, P. A. (1990) Signal-mediated import of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase into the Saccharomyces cerevisiae nucleus and specific transcription of target genes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 10, 353–360.

(37) Chen, W., Tabor, S., and Struhl, K. (1987) Distinguishing between mechanisms of eukaryotic transcriptional activation with bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase. *Cell 50*, 1047–1055.

(38) Hobl, B., Hock, B., Schneck, S., Fischer, R., and Mack, M. (2013) Bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase-based expression in Pichia pastoris. *Protein Expression Purif.* 92, 100–104.

(39) Brunschwig, E., and Darzins, A. (1992) A two-component T7 system for the overexpression of genes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Gene 111*, 35–41.

(40) Conrad, B., Savchenko, R. S., Breves, R., and Hofemeister, J. (1996) A T7 promoter-specific, inducible protein expression system for Bacillus subtilis. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 250, 230–236.

(41) Elroy-Stein, O., and Moss, B. (1990) Cytoplasmic expression system based on constitutive synthesis of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 87*, 6743–6747.

(42) McBride, K. E., Schaaf, D. J., Daley, M., and Stalker, D. M. (1994) Controlled expression of plastid transgenes in plants based on a nuclear DNA-encoded and plastid-targeted T7 RNA polymerase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 91*, 7301–7305.

(43) Ikeda, R. A., and Richardson, C. C. (1987) Interactions of a proteolytically nicked RNA polymerase of bacteriophage T7 with its promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 3800–3808.

(44) Ikeda, R. A., and Richardson, C. C. (1987) Enzymatic properties of a proteolytically nicked RNA polymerase of bacteriophage T7. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 3790–3799.

(45) Muller, D. K., Martin, C. T., and Coleman, J. E. (1988) Processivity of Proteolytically Modified Forms of T7 RNA Polymerase. *Biochemistry* 27, 5763–5771.

(46) Cheetham, G. M., and Steitz, T. a. (1999) Structure of a transcribing T7 RNA polymerase initiation complex. *Science 286*, 2305–2309.

(47) Zoltowski, B. D., Schwerdtfeger, C., Widom, J., Loros, J. J., Bilwes, A. M., Dunlap, J. C., and Crane, B. R. (2007) Conformational Switching in the Fungal Light Sensor Vivid. *Science* 316, 1054–1057. (48) Han, T., Chen, Q., and Liu, H. (2017) Engineered Photoactivatable Genetic Switches Based on the Bacterium Phage T7 RNA Polymerase. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 6, 357–366.

(49) Chen, X., Zaro, J. L., and Shen, W. C. (2013) Fusion protein linkers: Property, design and functionality. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 65, 1357–1369.

(50) Iost, I., Guillerez, J., and Dreyfus, M. (1992) Bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase travels far ahead of ribosomes in vivo. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 619–622.

(51) Miroux, B., and Walker, J. E. (1996) Over-production of proteins in Escherichia coli: mutant hosts that allow synthesis of some membrane proteins and globular proteins at high levels. *J. Mol. Biol.* 260, 289–298.

(52) Malakar, P., and Venkatesh, K. V. (2012) Effect of substrate and IPTG concentrations on the burden to growth of Escherichia coli on glycerol due to the expression of Lac proteins. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 93, 2543–2549.

(53) Dekel, E., and Alon, U. (2005) Optimality and evolutionary tuning of the expression level of a protein. *Nature* 436, 588–592.

(54) Espah Borujeni, A., Channarasappa, A. S., and Salis, H. M. (2014) Translation rate is controlled by coupled trade-offs between site accessibility, selective RNA unfolding and sliding at upstream standby sites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 2646–2659.

(55) Salis, H. M., Mirsky, E. A., and Voigt, C. A. (2009) Automated design of synthetic ribosome binding sites to control protein expression. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 27, 946–50.

(56) Bernstein, J. a, Khodursky, A. B., Lin, P.-H., Lin-Chao, S., and Cohen, S. N. (2002) Global analysis of mRNA decay and abundance in Escherichia coli at single-gene resolution using two-color fluorescent DNA microarrays. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 9697–702.

(57) Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko, K. A., Tomita, M., Wanner, B. L., and Mori, H. (2006) Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 2, 2006.0008.

(58) Datsenko, K. A., and Wanner, B. L. (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 6640–5.

(59) Bowers, L. M., Lapoint, K., Anthony, L., Pluciennik, A., and Filutowicz, M. (2004) Bacterial expression system with tightly regulated gene expression and plasmid copy number. *Gene* 340, 11–18.

(60) Andersen, J. B., Sternberg, C., Poulsen, L. K., Bjørn, S. P., Givskov, M., and Molin, S. (1998) New Unstable Variants of Green Fluorescent Protein for Studies of Transient Gene Expression in Bacteria New Unstable Variants of Green Fluorescent Protein for Studies of Transient Gene Expression in Bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 2240–2246.

(61) Flynn, J. M., Levchenko, I., Seidel, M., Wickner, S. H., Sauer, R. T., and Baker, T. a. (2001) Overlapping recognition determinants within the ssrA degradation tag allow modulation of proteolysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 10584–10589.

(62) McGinness, K. E., Baker, T. A., and Sauer, R. T. (2006) Engineering Controllable Protein Degradation. *Mol. Cell* 22, 701–707.

(63) Schmidl, S. R., Sheth, R. U., Wu, A., and Tabor, J. (2014) Refactoring and Optimization of Light-Switchable Escherichia coli Two-Component Systems. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 3, 820–831.

(64) Tabor, J. J., Levskaya, A., and Voigt, C. a. (2011) Multichromatic control of gene expression in escherichia coli. *J. Mol. Biol.* 405, 315–324.

(65) Jayaraman, P., Devarajan, K., Chua, T. K., Zhang, H., Gunawan, E., and Poh, C. L. (2016) Blue light-mediated transcriptional activation and repression of gene expression in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 6994–7005.

(66) Pu, J., Zinkus-Boltz, J., and Dickinson, B. C. (2017) Evolution of a split RNA polymerase as a versatile biosensor platform. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 432–438.

(67) Davidson, E. A., Basu, A. S., and Bayer, T. S. (2013) Programming microbes using pulse width modulation of optical signals. *J. Mol. Biol.* 425, 4161–4166.

(68) Lo, T.-M., Chng, S. H., Teo, W. S., Cho, H.-S., and Chang, M. W. (2016) A Two-Layer Gene Circuit for Decoupling Cell Growth from Metabolite Production. *Cell Syst.* 3, 133–143.

(69) Briand, L., Marcion, G., Kriznik, A., Heydel, J. M., Artur, Y., Garrido, C., Seigneuric, R., and Neiers, F. (2016) A self-inducible

heterologous protein expression system in Escherichia coli. *Sci. Rep. 6,* 33037.

(70) Lutz, R., and Bujard, H. (1997) Independent and tight regulation of transcriptional units in escherichia coli via the LacR/O, the TetR/O and AraC/II-I2 regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 1203–1210.

(71) Chung, C. T., Niemela, S. L., and Miller, R. H. (1989) One-step preparation of competent Escherichia coli: Transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution (recombinant DNA). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 86, 2172–2175.

(72) Guzman, L. L. M., Belin, D., Carson, M. J., and Beckwith, J. (1995) Tight Regulation, Modulation, and High-Level Expression by Vectors Containing the Arabinose PBAD Promoter. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 4121–4130.

(73) Wycuff, D. R., and Matthews, K. S. (2000) Generation of an AraC-araBAD Promoter-Regulated T7 Expression System. *Anal. Biochem.* 277, 67–73.

(74) Jones, J. A., Vernacchio, V. R., Lachance, D. M., Lebovich, M., Fu, L., Shirke, A. N., Schultz, V. L., Cress, B., Linhardt, R. J., and Koffas, M. A. G. (2015) ePathOptimize: A Combinatorial Approach for Transcriptional Balancing of Metabolic Pathways. *Sci. Rep. 5*, 11301.

(75) Sambrook, J. (2001) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual,* 3rd ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

(76) Gibson, D. G., Young, L., Chuang, R.-Y., Venter, J. C., Hutchison, C. A., and Smith, H. O. (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat. Methods 6*, 343–5.